

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/944,050	08/30/2001	Rand David Dannenberg	M00A226	8351
36257	7590 05/02/2003			
PARSONS HSUE & DE RUNTZ LLP 655 MONTGOMERY STREET SUITE 1800			EXAMINER	
			CHANG, AUDREY Y	
SAN FRAN	CISCO, CA 94111		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2872	

DATE MAILED: 05/02/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			\
	Application No.	Apant(s)	N
•	09/944,050	DANNENBERG, F	RAND DAVID
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
÷	Audrey Y. Chang	2872	
• The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ad	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timel NTHS from the mailing date of this co BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
,—	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under the	ince except for formal ma Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	atters, prosecution as to th .D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.	•	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accep			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examin	er.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	-		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	arriller.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	- 2- 3	C 440(a) (d) a a (0	
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) of (l).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents		Annlingding No.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the prior application for a list of the certified copies of the prior application for a list of the certified copies of the prior application for a list of the certified copies of the prior application for a list of the certified copies of the prior application from the list of the prior application from the prior applic	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		Stage
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional	application).
 a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting 			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No Informal Patent Application (PT	
S. Patent and Trademark Office			-

Application/Control Number: 09/944,050

Art Unit: 2872

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-12 and 16-21, drawn to optical coating for a substrate having antireflection layer of dielectric and metallic layer, classified in class 359, subclass 585.
 - II. Claims 13-15, drawn to optical coating for a substrate having alternatively arranged high refractive index layer and low refractive index layer, classified in *class 359*, *subclass 586*.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions, the optical coating recited in group I, is directed to optical coating having metallic layer and anti-reflection layer, which implicitly suggests the optical coating has anti-reflection property and conductive property (due to metallic layer), while the optical coating recited in group II recited is an interference coating which can have transmission, reflection or antireflection property. The two invention groups, as claimed in the claims, cannot be used together.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/944,050

Art Unit: 2872

`,

5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

6. This application contains claims directed to the following *patentably distinct species* of the claimed invention:

In invention group I,

- (A) an optical coating having a first anti-reflection layer of dielectric/metallic layer/a second anti-reflection layer of dielectric, (claims 1-6, and 16-21),
- (B) an optical coating having a first anti-reflection layer of dielectric/metallic layer/a second anti-reflection layer of dielectric/a second metallic layer/a third anti-reflection layer of dielectric, (claims 7-12).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious

Application/Control Number: 09/944,050

Art Unit: 2872

variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of

the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under

35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

7. A telephone call was not made to applicant's attorney due to the complexity of the restriction

requirement to request an oral election.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of

the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Audrey Y. Chang whose telephone number is 703-305-6208. The examiner can normally

be reached on Monday-Friday (8:00-4:30), alternative Mondays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Cassandra Spyrou can be reached on 703-308-1637. The fax phone numbers for the organization where

this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9318 for regular communications and 703-872-

9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should

be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Audrey Y. Chang Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2872

A. Chang, Ph.D. April 30, 2003 Page 4